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Abstract. The article studies the elastic and locomotive properties of Miura-ori-type paper origami. The mechanics of a single paper crease is studied experimentally, and its non-elastic properties turn out to be crucial. The entire origami construction is then described as a collection of individual creases, its capability to launch small objects is evaluated, and the equation of motion is found. Thus, the height of the launched ball is studied theoretically and experimentally as a function of governing parameters.
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1 Introduction

In our investigation, we will consider the properties of paper origami, designed to vertically launch small loads. This problem was proposed by the organizing committee of the X International Physicists’ Tournament. In its original version, we were to optimize a paper construction such as Miura-ori to vertically launch a standard Ping-Pong ball to a maximally possible height. We were also restricted to use only one uncut sheet of A4 paper (80 g/m²). It had a fixed thickness, density and inherent anisotropy caused by the fibrous structure.

We define origami as a paper construction created by folding paper. The creation of creases is accompanied by damage to the material and plastic deformations. This process is irreversible, as can be seen from the photo (Fig. 1). However, once folded, origami may be subjected to further deformations that can be elastic in the vicinity of the stable position. Different types of these deformations are possible: bending, stretching, all-round compression, etc. Although both stretching and all-round compression can store a lot of energy, we will focus on the optimization of a one-layer Miura-ori, which operates solely on bending deformations.

1.1 Design of the folding

Miura-ori is one of the popular models known from the mechanical modeling of metamaterials [1–3]. The Miura fold is a rigid origami, i.e. each cell is flat and does not change either its shape or its linear dimensions during any transformations of the folding. That is why in our treatment we will consider only bending deformations in the edges and require that the energy stored in bending is much greater than the energy stored in other types of deformations. This principle also allows us to treat the construction as a sum of single folds. We note that multilayer structures will be disregarded because of stretching deformations appearing in them.

Standard Miura-ori is a pattern consisting of equal parallelograms connected by folds (Fig. 2a and b). Besides a standard flat Miura-ori (Fig. 2a) there are multiple isomorphic variations [4,5], leading to a wide range of so called Miura-like structures. We call the standard Miura pattern flat, because Miura-like structures in a folded state may have different shapes, e.g., cylindrical. To construct a mathematical model of the Miura-ori folding pattern we parametrized it using 5 parameters: the length \( L_1 \) and the height \( L_2 \) of the paper sheet (which are fixed for our problem), the number \( N_1 \) of horizontal folds, the number \( N_2 \) of vertical folds, and the angle of construction \( \alpha \) (which are variable). This set of parameters can describe the standard Miura pattern (Fig. 2b). Moreover, by varying angles and distances, one can construct many possible Miura-like structures (Fig. 2c) that can be non-homogeneous or even non-periodic.

2 Dead ends

In this section we try to evaluate the effectiveness of the origami launcher purely theoretically, by applying the
expression for the elastic potential energy of one fold [6]:

Due to the peculiarities of the paper structure, the phenomenological laws and experimentally determined linear theory of elasticity and avoiding the use of any phenomenological theory describing this system. Let us write the equation of motion for the system consisting of a single paper crease, to obtain its basic elastic characteristics. Moreover there is a hysteresis effect between the two faces of the crease, and frictional processes in its fibrous micro-structure. The properties of the paper are related to numerous elastic parameters and a scandalously large height reached by the ball, in our opinion, render the existence of a simple axiomatic theory highly implausible. We thus consider this unsuccessful attempt to be a motivation for the creation of the phenomenological theory, as presented in the following part of this article.

3 Methods

3.1 Dynamics of a single paper crease

The properties of the paper are related to numerous elastic and frictional processes in its fibrous micro-structure. The torque provided by the bent paper nonlinearly depends on the angle between the facets. Moreover there is a hysteresis effect (from the cycle of opening/closing the fold), so the properties of paper are process-depending. Moving layers are subjected to the internal friction that also depends on the motion rate. The physics behind all these values is very complicated and sensitive to the particular conditions of the experiment, such as the brand and the quality of the paper, the state of the environment, the folding method etc. That is why it is very difficult to study from microscopics the elastic properties of such a complex material as paper. However, it is possible to construct a simple phenomenological theory describing this system.

To do this, we decided firstly to study the dynamics of a single paper crease, to obtain its basic elastic characteristics from the experiment, and then to apply this result to describe the entire origami. Let us write the equation of motion for the system consisting of a single paper crease fixed by one face to the floor, with an additional load fixed to the edge of its moving face:
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we obtain the differential equation of motion:

\[
M(\phi, \dot{\phi}) = M_0(\phi) + \mu(\phi)\dot{\phi}.
\]  \tag{5}

Here we assume that \(M_0\) is only a function of the angle \(\phi\), and that the dissipative term is proportional to the angular velocity \(\dot{\phi}\) (some analogy to a viscoelastic model) with the coefficient of proportionality \(\mu\) depending in its turn on the angle \(\phi\). Substituting these expressions and treating the values of the moment of inertia and the gravitational term through the paper and the load parameters, we obtain the differential equation of motion:

\[
\left( ml^2 + m_0 \frac{L^2}{3} \right) \ddot{\phi} = M_0(\phi) - \mu(\phi)\dot{\phi} - \left( m l + m_0 \frac{L}{2} \right) g \cos \phi, \tag{6}
\]

where \(m\) and \(l\) are respectively the mass and the lever arm of the load, while \(m_0\) and \(L\) are the mass and the length of the moving part of the paper. In this equation, the static torque \(M_0(\phi)\) and the dynamical dissipative coefficient \(\mu(\phi)\) as functions of the angle are to be determined from the experimental study.

In our experiment (Fig. 3), we filmed the dynamics of a loaded paper crease, traced it using the program Tracker,\(^1\) thus obtaining the time dependence of the angle \(\phi(t)\) for different masses of the load, numerically differentiated them and treated the angular velocity \(\dot{\phi}(t)\) and acceleration \(\ddot{\phi}(t)\) as functions of \(\phi\) (Fig. 4). Before performing the numerical differentiation, the experimental data were subjected to smoothing, using spline interpolation. All the points in our experiment come from a single paper sample. These dependencies are reproducible, but they are very sensitive to any changes: paper should be taken from the same package, fold should be made in the same way by the same person (because different pressure during folding, etc. causes different damage to the material), environmental conditions such as humidity should also be the same, etc. Therefore, unfortunately, to repeat all the following computations in different circumstances one needs to obtain corresponding characteristics of a single bend once again.

We analyzed the obtained experimental data to find the empirical parameters of our model. For this purpose, we substituted \(\phi(\dot{\phi})\) and \(\ddot{\phi}(\phi)\) into equation (6) and treated the effective torque in each case. When varying the mass of the load, the angular velocities are different for the same value of the angle. As such, we fixed the value of the angle, constructed the dependence of the effective torque on the angular velocity, and fitted these data using our linear assumption with \(M_0\) and \(\mu\) as fitting parameters (Fig. 5a). The linearity of this dependence verifies our assumption regarding the viscoelastic form of the effective torque of \(M(\phi, \dot{\phi})\).

Repeating the same procedure for different fixed angles we received the static torque \(M_0(\phi)\) and the dynamical dissipative coefficient \(\mu(\phi)\) as functions of the angle (Fig. 5b). After interpolation, these functions can be used to solve the equations of motion of complex origami structures consisting of a number of creases.

3.2 Operation of the construction as a whole

Relying on the obtained results for a single paper crease, we now can build a theoretical model for the operation of a complex origami structure. We treat the origami folding as a set of single creases, so we need only to sum up

---

\(^1\) https://physlets.org/tracker/
dependence of the effective torque

Fig. 5. Processing the experimental data. (a) Example of the
dependence of the effective torque \( M(\phi_0, \dot{\phi}) \) on the angular
velocity \( \dot{\phi} \) for the fixed opening angle \( \phi_0 = 0.4 \) radians. Vari-
ation of the effective torque at a fixed angle is achieved by
changing the load mass. This dependence is fitted according
to our assumption \( M(\phi, \dot{\phi}) = M_0(\phi) + \mu(\phi)\dot{\phi} \) with \( M_0(\phi) \) and
\( \mu(\phi) \) as fitting parameters. So, from this linear fit we get
the coefficients of transformation \( \phi \) and \( \dot{\phi} \). The same procedure is then repeated for other values
of \( \phi_0 \). (b) The resulting empirical parameters of our model as
functions of the angle \( \phi \) of inclination of the paper. The upper
plot is the static torque provided by the paper crease and the
lower one is the dynamical dissipative parameter slowing down
the motion of the paper. The latter is the coefficient of propor-
tionality relating the effective torque and the angular velocity. It
should be noted that in further calculations these values will be
normalized according to the corresponding length of the crease.

The work of all these creases, taking into consideration
the construction properties. Let us consider the energy
transformations of the system including the origami and
the load:

\[
\sum_{i, edges} M(\phi_i, \dot{\phi_i}) \Delta \phi_i = \Delta E_p + \Delta E_k + \Delta E_l, \tag{7}
\]

where \( \Delta E_p \) and \( \Delta E_k \) are respectively the increments
of the potential and kinetic energy of both the paper
construction and the load, and \( \Delta E_l \) describes all other
energy losses related to the load, e.g., air drag, rotation,
etc. By a simple estimation (see Appendix A) it can be
shown that these losses are negligible with respect to the
other terms of the equation, so we will not take \( \Delta E_l \) into
consideration in our further computations.

Our task is to study the height of the load, so it would
be reasonable to rewrite all of the equations in terms of the
vertical coordinate \( x \) (Fig. 6a). To do this, we need to
establish relations between the change of the coordinate
\( x \) and that of each of the angles \( \phi_i \). The elementary work
of the effective torque then reads

\[
\mathcal{M}(x, \dot{x}) \, dx = \sum_{i, edges} M_0(\phi_i(x)) \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} \, dx
- \sum_{i, edges} \mu(\phi_i(x)) \dot{x} \left( \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} \right)^2 \, dx, \tag{8}
\]

where \( M_0 \) and \( \mu \) are numerical functions (Fig. 5b)
normalized according to the length of the creases.

To find the coefficients of transformation \( \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} \), we need
to express the angles \( \phi_i \) in terms of the coordinate \( x \). Firstly, we express the angles between the two particular
facets in terms of the local displacement of one fold, and then we generalize this result to the entire
construction with the total displacement \( x \). In the case of
a standard flat Miura-ori, we have two different types of
angles (Fig. 6b). We solve this geometric problem (see
Appendix B) and obtain the following expressions:

\[
\phi_1 = \arcsin \left[ \frac{2}{L_2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{L_2^2}} \right], \tag{9}
\]
\[
\phi_2 = \arcsin \left[ \frac{2 \cos \alpha}{L_2^2 - \sin^2 \alpha} \right]. \tag{10}
\]

As can be seen, these expressions are related to the parameters
of the particular pattern discussed in the previous
section. Here, $L_2$ is the height of the paper sheet and $\alpha$ is the construction angle (see Fig. 2b). For the standard flat Miura pattern, we have only one type of cell, and hence only one construction angle. We also need to find the derivatives of the obtained relations. Differentiating (9) and (10) we get

$$\frac{d\phi_1}{dx} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{L_2^2 - x^2}}$$

(11)

$$\frac{d\phi_2}{dx} = \frac{2 \cos \alpha}{\sqrt{L_2^2 - x^2} \left(1 - \frac{x}{L_2} \sin^2 \alpha\right)}$$

(12)

Using these expressions (9)–(12), $M(x, \dot{x})$ can be treated in terms of $x$:

$$M(x, \dot{x}) = N_1 L_1 \left[M_0 \phi_1(x) \frac{d\phi_1}{dx} - \mu \phi_1(x) \dot{x} \left(\frac{d\phi_1}{dx}\right)^2\right] + N_2 L_2 \sin \alpha \left[M_0 \phi_2(x) \frac{d\phi_2}{dx} - \mu \phi_2(x) \dot{x} \left(\frac{d\phi_2}{dx}\right)^2\right],$$

(13)

where $N_1$ and $N_2$ are respectively the numbers of horizontal and vertical folds. The multipliers $L_1$ and $N_1 L_2 \sin \alpha$ come from calculating the length of the folds of a given type (see Fig. 2b).

In respect of the kinetic and potential energy terms $E_k$ and $E_p$, they will consist of the energies of the load and of the origami itself. The expression for the total kinetic energy of the origami is complicated as it includes all of the inner motions of each particular crease. To simplify the calculations, we will neglect these inner motions and consider only the vertical velocity of the paper. Of course, this neglect may result in errors of our computations, but we accept it as the first approximation. Thus, the kinetic energy of the origami also can be easily treated in terms of the vertical motion.

We assume that the bottom of the origami remains at rest (we fix it to the table), its top moves at the speed of the ball $v$, and the vertical velocity of the paper changes linearly with height (in reality, it is not exactly so because of the increasing effective load on the lower folds due to the mass of the above paper). Then, averaging the squared velocity over the length of the origami, we get the following expression for the kinetic energy of the system:

$$E_k = E_{k, \text{ball}} + E_{k, \text{origami}} = \frac{mv^2}{2} + \frac{m_0 v^2}{2},$$

(14)

where $m$ is the mass of the ball, $m_0$ is the mass of the origami, and the factor $\frac{1}{3}$ comes from the averaging. As for the potential energy, it can also be treated in terms of $x$ as a sum of the two energies (using the same notations):

$$E_p = E_{p, \text{ball}} + E_{p, \text{origami}} = mgx + m_0 g \frac{x}{2}.$$

(15)

Having the expressions for the kinetic energy (14), the potential energy (15), and the effective load (13), as well as the relation for the energy transformations in the system (7), we can consider the energy change per unit time that provides a new equation of motion similar to (6):

$$\left(m + \frac{m_0}{3}\right) \ddot{x} = M(x, \dot{x}) - \left(m + \frac{m_0}{2}\right) g,$$

(16)

where $M(x, \dot{x})$ is given by (13).

4 Results

The numerical solution of this equation gives the time dependence of the coordinate of the load and its vertical velocity (Fig. 7). It is influenced by all of the parameters of our system. As we recall, our task was to investigate the maximum height of the launched load, so we should find the velocity of the ball at the moment of its separation from the origami. Then we could easily convert it into the maximum potential energy and find the height of the ball. From our solutions, the maximal velocity of the ball can be obtained as a function of the governing parameters $N_1, N_2$, and the construction angle $\alpha$.

4.1 Experiment

To study the dependence of the maximum height of the ball on the proposed parameters, we carried out a set of experiments varying independently $N_1, N_2$ and $\alpha$. We drew and printed three series of patterns with two parameters fixed and the third one varying in some range. All of the obtained dependences are shown in Figure 8 and compared to the corresponding theoretical curves. As can be seen from these plots, the height of the launched load increases with increasing numbers of horizontal and vertical folds. This result is quite logical as the lever arm of the folds that decreases with increasing number of folds is not important for the resulting speed of the load. The energy coming from the creases is determined by their
number and their inner properties. As concerns the impact of the construction angle (Fig. 8c), its increase results in a decrease of the height. Indeed, in this case the projection on the vertical axis of the force coming from the vertical creases becomes smaller and decreases the energy given to the load.

It should be noted that fixing some typical values of the parameters in the datasets does not allow us to reach the maximal height. To do this, we should perform the optimization while involving all of the parameters simultaneously. From our result, one can see that to increase the height of the ball it is necessary to increase the numbers of folds and to decrease the construction angle. Experimentally, we are naturally limited by our possibility to construct an origami with arbitrary parameters. When trying to reach the optimal parameters, the height approaches a value of the order of 50 cm. Our best result was achieved when we tried to make an origami corresponding to the extreme values of the parameters from our plots (Fig. 8). Here, the load becomes unstable on top of the Miura-ori, so the vertical launch becomes complicated, and the full collection of statistics becomes cumbersome. Moreover, when the cells are so small, the impact of the human factor dramatically increases. The characteristic scale of construction errors becomes comparable to the size of the cells, so each inaccuracy results in significant changes to the launcher properties.

5 Discussion

It is impossible to disregard the fact that the standard flat Miura-ori studied in our paper is not the best construction for vertically launching a ball. We investigated it in order to understand the general principles of its work and to study the influence of different factors.

Our method was based on experimentally measured paper characteristics. That is why all of our experiments were carried out with the same batch of paper. When we tried to take another A4 paper, we got a strikingly different result: the same folding launches a ball almost two times higher. So, our theoretical functions cannot be applied to describe another type of paper. However, our treatment allows us to introduce arbitrary initial curves and parameters, and to perform all of the calculations again. Moreover, we can use the relations between the angles and the vertical coordinate to describe other Miura-like foldings. In general, the proposed method is applicable to the investigation of any kind of structure that can be treated as a sum of individual creases.

For example, the simplest extension of a standard Miura-ori is the cylindrical Miura folding (Fig. 9). The properties of such constructions have been studied in detail in [8]. This type of pattern is particularly interesting for our problem because it provides a stable vertical launch of the ball and allows one to effectively use the energy stored in the folds.

The geometry of the cylindrical folding is more complex than that of the flat one. In order to be cylindric, it already includes two different construction angles related with each other and the number of facets of the polygonal cylinder base. Thereby, the number of different angles between the pairs of faces and their derivatives with respect to the vertical coordinate increases. These relations become more complicated and imply some geometric restrictions caused by the ability of the folding to be easily compressed and decompressed. For example, for some values of angles, it is impossible to compress the cylinder by a continuous movement. It requires a kind of “geometry breaking”: to be compressed, the folding needs to be self-crossed, like the Möbius strip. As we are launching a ball, it is an important limitation in our problem, together with other one concerning breaking the rigidity of some cylindrical constructions. That is, having two stable states, the origami needs its faces to be bent when getting from one stable state to another. These elastic modes, as well as other properties of the cylindrical Miura-ori are discussed in [8].

This type of folding is able to provide a sufficiently large height of the ball (up to about 60 cm, which is slightly
6 Conclusion

The elastic properties of the origami arise from the combination of its folds, so we first study the mechanics of an individual crease. Theoretically and experimentally, we determined the dynamics of a paper fold. In our experiments we noticed that paper has nonelastic and nonlinear properties. We saw the hysteresis loop on the static loading curve when opening and closing the fold. We experimentally measured the dependence of the opening angle, the angular velocity and the angular acceleration on time. Thereby, we found the torque provided by the paper fold and the dissipation in the fold depending on the angle and the angular velocity. Using this result, we solved the differential equation of motion and measured the dependence of the maximal angular velocity on the mass of the load.

With the aid of the result for a single fold, we found the velocity of the entire origami, the velocity of the ball and the height reached by the ball. To do this, we created an algorithm to calculate the angles between the facets of a Miura-ori structure, and implemented it numerically.

In addition, we have made a number of experimental measurements, in which we studied the velocity and the coordinate reached by the ball as a function of variable parameters, such as the number of horizontal and vertical folds, and the angle of the construction of the origami. Comparing the experiment data to the numerical solution, we saw that the discrepancy was small. Still, if we use paper with other characteristics, its rigid properties will be different, and we will need to go through the fitting and calibration process once again.

Our theoretical and experimental methods devised for the Miura-ori can be used to study other similar constructions.

We are very grateful to our friend Alexander Kostenko for revising the style of the manuscript, as well as to the referees and editors of the Emergent Scientist, whose comments helped to substantially improve the quality of the article.

Appendix A: Estimation of the energy loss of the load

The load loses energy by interacting with air. With typical orders of magnitude of all the values in our problem, the estimated value of the Reynolds number of a load is

$$\text{Re} = \frac{\rho v D}{\eta} \sim \frac{1 \text{kg/m} \cdot 1 \text{m/s} \cdot 10^{-2} \text{m}}{10^{-5} \text{kg/m/s}} \sim 10^3,$$

so we will use the quadratic law to estimate the air drag force $F_{dr}$. The energy dissipation is equal to the work of this force over the height of the launched load (the load is considered to be a ball)

$$E_{\text{dis}} \sim F_{dr} h \sim \frac{C \rho \pi r^2 v^2 h}{2} \sim \frac{C \rho \pi r^2 g h^2}{3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

The potential energy gain of the load is

$$E_p = mgh.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

The ratio of these two energies is (units of measurement are omitted, all the values are written out in the SI)

$$\frac{E_{\text{dis}}}{E_p} \sim \frac{C \rho \pi r^2 g h^2}{3 m g h} \sim \frac{C \rho \pi r^2 h}{3 m},$$

$$\sim \frac{0.5 \cdot \pi \cdot 1 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot 0.5 \cdot 3 \cdot 10^{-5}}{0.03} \sim 0.03 \quad (A.4)$$

Thus, the energy dissipated in the air is two orders of magnitude less than the typical energies in our problem. Finally, to estimate the energy of rotation of the load, we assume the load to be a ball making about one turn over the raising time, as was observed in our experiments.

$$E_{\text{rot}} = \frac{I \omega^2}{2} \sim \frac{m r^2 (2\pi/\ell)^2}{3} \sim \frac{m r^2 (2\pi)^2/(2h/g)}{3} \sim \frac{2\pi^2 m r^2 g}{3h},$$

so the ratio of this energy and the kinetic energy of the translational motion (which is almost equal to its potential energy gain) is (values are also given in SI)

$$\frac{E_{\text{rot}}}{E_p} \sim \frac{2\pi^2 m r^2 g}{3 m g h^2} = \frac{2\pi^2 r^2}{3 h^2},$$

$$\sim \frac{2\pi^2 \cdot 10^{-4}}{3 \cdot 0.5^2} \sim 0.003.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.5)

Thus, the energy lost to the load rotation is also small.

Appendix B: Calculation of opening angles

To find the opening angles as functions of the vertical coordinate $x$ let us consider a paper sheet with the length
The opening angle \( \phi_1 \) is located for example between faces 1 and 3, and \( \phi_2 \) is respectively between faces 2 and 3 (the numbers of the faces are indicated in yellow circles).

Let us focus on one particular cell (Fig. B.2). It consists of parallelograms of sizes \( l_2 \) and \( l_1 \) (where \( l_2 = L_2 / (N_1 \sin \alpha) \)) and has the construction angle \( \alpha \). From the right triangle \( \Delta A_1 A_4 B_1 \) (Fig. B.2a) one can find \( A_1 B_1 = l_2 \sin \alpha \). The line segment \( A_4 B_2 \) shows the distance between two parallel planes \( A_1 A_4 A_5 \) and \( A_2 A_3 A_6 \), so it is equal to the local displacement \( \Delta x \). The angle between the planes \( A_1 A_2 A_3 \) and \( A_2 A_3 A_6 \) is equal to a half of the opening angle \( \phi_1 \) and can be found from the right triangle \( \Delta A_1 B_1 B_2 \) (see also Fig. B.2b). So,\[
\sin \phi_1 = \frac{\Delta x}{h \sin \alpha} = \frac{x}{L_2},
\]
which after a trigonometrical transformation results in equation (9).

From the right triangle \( \Delta A_1 A_4 C_2 \) with \( \angle A_1 A_4 C_2 = \alpha \) we have \( A_1 C_2 = l \sin \alpha \), and \( A_4 C_2 = l \cos \alpha \). At the horizontal plane (Fig. B.2c) let \( \angle A_4 A_3 B_2 = \gamma \). Then \( \sin \gamma = \frac{l}{h} \). As \( A_4 A_5 \parallel A_3 A_6 \), we get \( \angle A_1 A_4 C_2 = \gamma \), so from the right triangle \( \Delta C_1 A_4 C_2 \) we obtain \( C_1 C_2 = l \cos \alpha \tan \gamma \).

Finally, in the right triangle \( \Delta A_1 C_2 C_1 \) (Fig. B.2d) the angle \( \angle C_1 A_1 C_2 \) is equal to one half of the opening angle \( \phi_2 \), so one can find
\[
\sin \frac{\phi_2}{2} = \frac{l \cos \alpha \tan \gamma}{l \sin \alpha / \cos \alpha} = \frac{\cot \alpha \sin \gamma}{\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \gamma}},
\]
and for the doubled argument one can find equation (10).
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